

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,499	06/14/2001	John W. Mason	56576.000	7773
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER	
DeWitt Ross & Stevens SC			NI, SUHAN	
Suite 401 8000 Excelsior Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Madison, WI 53717			2643	12
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/881,499	MASON ET AL.				
·· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suhan Ni	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N	ovember 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11,15-18 and 20-25</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-11, 15-18, 20-25</u> is/are rejected	d.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)  objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 (ast sentence of the specification of povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	tion No red in this National Stage  ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived. D and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intonious Summan	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	<u>1</u> . 6) ☐ Other: .	•				

Application/Control Number: 09/881,499

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment dated 11/11/2003.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it recites the limitation of "the entirety" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

  (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8 and 15-18, 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hodsdon et al. (U. S. Pat. -3,989,909).

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15, Hodsdon et al. disclose a speaker enclosure system, comprising: a backbox (Fig. 1) having an interior bounded by a peripheral edge; a grill (14)

Application/Control Number: 09/881,499

Art Unit: 2643

having a crimping edge being crimped about the peripheral edge; a speaker (31) affixed to an interior surface of the grille; and a sound baffle sheet (25) extending across the interior surface of the grille between the peripheral edge and the speaker, wherein the grille rests upon and extending across the peripheral edge whereby the entirety of the backbox rests to one side of the interior surface of the grille as claimed.

Regarding claims 2-3, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the grill is releasable attached to the backbox (Fig. 6) as claimed.

Regarding claims 16-18 and 22, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the baffle sheets have a speaker opening (10) as claimed.

Regarding claim 20, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the backbox has an electrical supply (Fig. 1) as claimed.

Regarding claim 21, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the crimping edge is also crimped about the sound baffle as claimed (Fig. 5).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6, 9-11 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodsdon et al. (U. S. Pat. -3,989,909).

Application/Control Number: 09/881,499

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 4 and 9, Hodsdon et al. do not clearly teach that the backbox is molded as claimed. Since provided a molded plastic or form enclosure for a speaker system is very well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a molded backbox for the speaker enclosure system as an alternate choice, in order to simplify the manufacturing processing and also make the system more durable.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 10, Hodsdon et al. do not clearly teach that the molded backbox is reinforced by fiberglass as claimed. Since providing reinforcement material for a molded plastic housing is very well know in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any desirable reinforcement material, such as a fiberglass mesh for the enclosure or backbox as an alternate choice, in order to make the enclosure more durable, especially under some hazardous environment, such as under high temperature environment.

Regarding claims 11 and 23-25, Hodsdon et al. do not clearly teach that the molded backbox comprises material and detailed configuration as claimed. Since providing a thermal resistant material for a desirable enclosure or housing is very well know in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any suitable thermal resistant material, such as metal or some thermal resistant plastic for the enclosure or backbox as an alternate choice, in order to make the system fully functioning and more durable under high temperature environment.

Art Unit: 2643

## Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Suhan Ni** whose telephone number is (703)-308-9322, and the number for fax machine is (703)-305-9508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 am to 7:30 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached at (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Suhan Ni Patent Examiner Art Unit 2643 USPTO

SUHAN NI PATENT EXAMINER

January 19, 2004